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Simple learning in animals can be induced by four types of experimental protocol—habitation, sensitization, classical conditioning, and instrumental conditioning (see the figure). In classical conditioning, an animal learns about stimuli that predict important events such as food or danger. An example is Pavlov’s dog who learned that the sound of a bell predicts the delivery of food. In instrumental conditioning, an animal learns that a particular behavior has a specific consequence—for example, a rat learns to push a lever in order to get food.

A critical issue concerns whether each form of learning is truly unique or whether they represent artificial categories imposed by researchers. Dissecting this problem is complicated by the fact that most experimental protocols induce two or more forms of learning (1, 2). For example, classical conditioning contains an instrumental component because the response (salivation at the sound of the bell) is rewarded by the important event (food). Similarly, during instrumental conditioning, the setting and cues associated with training lead to a form of classical conditioning called context conditioning, which tells the animal what to expect in that environment (pressing the lever leads to food delivery) (3). But behavioral experiments alone cannot tell us conclusively about the relationships among the different forms of learning; we also need to understand the cellular mechanisms underlying each of them. On page 1706 of this issue, Brems et al. (4) take a step in this direction with their analysis of the behavior of the sea slug *Aplysia californica* during instrumental conditioning. By investigating the behavior of the animal and correlating it with the activity of single neurons, these authors were able to unravel a dopamine reward pathway resembling that in mammals.

What we know about the cellular mechanisms of learning is primarily based on work using sensitization (5) and classical conditioning protocols (5–7). In contrast, the cellular mechanisms underlying habituation and instrumental conditioning are poorly understood. Instrumental conditioning presents a particularly tricky problem to understand at a mechanistic level. Modern theorists (3) see the contingencies constituting instrumental conditioning as S(R–O), which means that in the presence of a specific stimulus (S), a response (R) leads to an expected outcome or reward (O). To determine the changes in neural activity (plasticity) that accompany instrumental learning, researchers need to understand the neural pathways underlying each of the elements of the contingency (S, R, and O).

Byrne and his colleagues (8, 9) have developed a way to study instrumental conditioning in *Aplysia* both in vitro and in vivo. Their protocol examines the biting phase of the feeding response in *Aplysia*, which can occur spontaneously. The esophageal nerve normally carries sensory feedback during food ingestion. By stimulating the esophageal nerve directly, spontaneous biting behavior can be reinforced even in the absence of food (4). An examination of the nervous system of trained animals shows that training alters the biophysical properties of the B51 neuron. The B51 sensory neuron is important for determining the output of the buccal motor system that regulates biting (8, 9). This neuron seems to be the point of convergence between the biting response and reinforcement. Using an in vitro system, Brems et al. (4) applied the neurotransmitter dopamine to cultured B51 neurons each time they fired in a pattern that mimicked ingestion. As a result of this reinforcement, the biophysical properties of the B51 neuron changed, rendering it more excitable and more likely to fire. This led to an increase in the frequency of ingestion-like firing patterns of B51.

In mammals, dopamine is known to be crucial for instrumental conditioning [reviewed in (10)]. More specifically, dopamine is the key neurotransmitter mediating the re-

**References and Notes**

1. Willed control of behavioral selection involves dynamic emotional and cognitive analyses of past and expected events and the influence of these analyses on decisions about future actions. These influences may contribute to the initiation of actions, persistence of adaptive actions, and inhibition of impulses to engage in alternative but less beneficial behaviors.


14. Shidara et al. (2) reported task-related firing patterns that are consistent with this conclusion.

15. Probability of a reward is the likelihood that a reward will occur if a given action is completed. Immobility is the time that must elapse or the number of events that must take place before a reward is received.

16. For example, if one extended the Shidara et al. protocol (2), one could compare the following: (i) responses to cues that signal different within-trial probabilities of reward but are randomized temporally and sequentially with respect to reward delivery; and (ii) responses to cues that signal different within-trial temporal reward delays but are identical or randomized with respect to within-trial probabilities of reward.


27. Both displays used statistical parametric maps of t values, generated in SPM 9 (Wellcome Department of Neurology, UK), overlaid on an MNI (Montreal Neurological Institute) template, to show the regions of significant difference between cocaine patients and controls for (A), maximum t value = 4.11 at voxel level threshold P < 0.01; P < 0.001 corrected, with small volume correction sphere of 10 mm at x = 0, y = 44, z = 0; for (B), maximum t value = 6.58; P < 0.01 corrected.
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ward-seeking behaviors trained by this type of conditioning. Dopamine may mediate approach behaviors activated by stimuli that are associated with biologically relevant events. The Brembs et al. work adds a new dimension to this research by presenting a simple system in which the effects of dopamine on single neurons, and on the behavior produced by those neurons, can be studied.

In the rat, release of dopamine in the nucleus accumbens can be selectively gated by the sensory properties of food, which in turn are gated by hunger and novelty (11). This suggests that food reinforcement delivered to a hungry rat leads to the release of dopamine, which provides the incentive motivating repetition of the behavior that produced the food (10, 11). In Aplysia, it is B51 that influences the buccal motor system to carry out ingestion (8). Repeated application of dopamine to B51 after it fires with an ingestion-like pattern alters the excitability of this neuron, leading to a higher probability of ingestion-like patterns. It is noteworthy that Brembs et al. artificially delivered dopamine to B51 every time it fired with an ingestion-like pattern. It will be important to further investigate the neural pathway that connects food intake, the esophageal nerve, and B51 to determine which stimuli are necessary and sufficient for stimulating natural release of dopamine contingent on biting, and to analyze the types of neural plasticity that dopamine induces.

Mechanisms of classical conditioning are highly conserved across a broad range of species (5, 7). Now, thanks to the work of Brembs and colleagues, it is clear that the mechanisms underlying instrumental conditioning may also be highly conserved. The next step will be to see whether any common cellular mechanisms underlie classical and instrumental conditioning.
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Seismological Detection of Slab Metamorphism
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Seismology is concerned primarily with the study of earthquakes, sudden, transient disturbances that radiate elastic waves in the Earth. There are also nontransient seismic processes, however, some of which are of great interest and practical importance. At volcanoes, more or less continuous ground vibrations often occur. Called “volcanic tremor,” they are thought to be excited by the subterranean flow of magmatic fluids. Their detection is an important indicator of volcanic activity (1).

But major improvements in instrumental capability often lead to unexpected discoveries. On page 1679 of this issue, Obara (2) reports the detection of continuous ground vibrations far away from any volcanic activity. The vibrations are probably caused by metamorphic processes related to the subduction of lithosphere beneath southwestern Japan.

Obara has analyzed data from Hi-net, a new network of about 600 digital seismometers situated in bore holes with depth of 200 to 300 m across Japan. The station density and sensitivity of the network, which began operation in late 2000, far surpass those of any comparable seismographic network. In the United States, some two or three dozen similar instruments of nonuniform types are spread unevenly over a much larger area.

The Hi-net data show vibrations that persist for minutes to weeks and originate in the lower crust in the Nankai subduction zone. Here, the Philippine Sea plate subducts beneath Shikoku and southwestern Honshu in Japan. Without a dense network and centralized processing, these vibrations would probably have gone unnoticed, or been interpreted as meteorological or cultural noise. The fact that rapid temporal variations in the Hi-net signals are spatially coherent over large regions rules out such explanations.